Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

Amendments to the Claims:

The listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims

in the application:

<u>Listing of Claims</u>:

Claims 1-8. (Cancelled).

Claim 9. (Original) Method for monitoring a traffic state in a traffic

network which may incur one or more effective bottlenecks, said method

comprising:

recording measured traffic data for at least one traffic parameter,

including at least one of information on traffic intensity and average vehicle

speed;

based on the recorded information, classifying the traffic state into

one of a plurality of state phases including at least the state phases of "free

traffic" and "synchronized traffic"; wherein,

when an edge fixed at an effective bottleneck of the traffic network

is detected between downstream free traffic and upstream synchronized traffic,

the traffic state upstream of said bottleneck is classified as conforming to a

Page 3 of 18

Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

pattern of dense traffic that is representative of the bottleneck, which pattern

includes one or more different consecutive upstream regions of different state

phase composition; and

an associated profile of the traffic parameters is taken into account

in classifying the state phase determination.

Claim 10. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 9,

wherein:

when an individual pattern of dense traffic arising initially at an

effective bottleneck in a particular route section [[,]] reaches a next preceding

effective bottleneck in an upstream direction, classifying a traffic state in this

particular route section as conforming to an overarching pattern, which

overarching pattern is representative of included effective bottlenecks of dense

traffic which, like a respective individual pattern, includes one or more different

consecutive upstream regions of different state phase composition; and

an associated profile of the traffic parameters is taken into account

in classifying the <u>traffic</u> state phase.

Page 4 of 18

Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

Claim 11. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 9,

wherein:

a pattern assigned to an effective bottleneck, or an [[as]]

overarching pattern common [[,]] to a plurality of effective bottlenecks, of dense

traffic with a time-dependent and location-dependent traffic parameter profile, is

empirically determined from recorded measured traffic data and stored in a

manner which can be called up.

Claim 12. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 11,

wherein:

for a particular bottleneck, it-is-established as a function of vehicle

influx, a determination is made whether the pattern comprises one of three

pattern variants, being i) only a region of synchronized traffic, ii) a region of

synchronized traffic and a pinch region adjoining upstream, or iii) a region of

synchronized traffic, a pinch region adjoining upstream and a region of moving

widespread congestion adjoining farther upstream;

associated edge positions are determined between the respective

different state phases; and

Page 5 of 18

Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

each of the three pattern variants is assigned a corresponding time-

dependent and location-dependent profile comprising information regarding at

least one parameter selected from the group consisting of [[the]] average vehicle

speed, and/or the traffic flow, and and/or the traffic density.

Claim 13. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 10,

wherein:

for the traffic network, it is established as a function of vehicle

flows, determinations are made regarding i) a location and where and in what

temporal sequence in which overarching patterns arise, in what occur; ii) a

temporal and spatial sequence in which regions of "synchronized traffic", "pinch

region" and "moving widespread congestion" arise and develop occur in each

overarching pattern; [[,]] and iii) whether overlaps of [[such]] said regions take

place; and

for a particular overlap, temporal and spatial characteristics of

congestion points regions through regions of synchronized traffic and/or or

eongested synchronized traffic pinch regions are predicted.

Claim 14. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 12,

wherein [[the]] a temporal evolution is currently estimated, and predicted for

Page 6 of 18

Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

future points in time, for [[of]] at [[least]] least one traffic condition selected from

the group consisting of edge positions of the regions of a respective pattern of

dense traffic, edge positions of congestion points regions inside various

overarching patterns, and occurrence of a new overarching pattern., is currently

estimated and predicted for future points in time.

Claim 15. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 12,

wherein travel time for respective individual or overarching patterns of dense

traffic are currently estimated or predicted for future points in time.

Claim 16. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 12,

wherein:

current vehicle influx data is detected for respective individual or

overarching patterns of dense traffic;

current data indicative of positions of the edges between the pattern

regions are determined; [[and]]

[[these]] the current data are used to select a best-fitting pattern

profile from among stored pattern profiles; and [[,]]

Page 7 of 18

Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

[[which]] the selected pattern profile is used as a basis for predicting

a future traffic state in the relevant route region.

Claim 17. (New) A method for traffic state monitoring in a traffic

network in which effective bottlenecks may occur, said method comprising:

for each of a plurality of varying values of traffic influx to a

particular effective bottleneck, determining a temporal/spatial profile for at least

one pattern of dense traffic that is representative of the particular effective

bottleneck, said profile including traffic parameters in a region upstream of the

particular effective bottleneck;

storing said at least one pattern in a memory;

when a downstream edge between downstream free traffic and an

upstream dense traffic phase is detected at said particular effective bottleneck,

selecting a best fitting pattern from among said stored patterns, based on at

least traffic influx to the particular effective bottleneck; and

predicting a temporal/spatial profile of traffic parameters for the

particular effective bottleneck using traffic parameter information associated

with the selected pattern.

Page 8 of 18

Amendment Dated: March 30, 2004

Reply to Office Action Dated: September 30, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 225/50974

Claim 18. (New) The method according to Claim 17, wherein said

selecting of a best fitting pattern comprises:

determining position of an upstream edge of said dense traffic

phase;

determining traffic influx to at least one of said upstream edge and

said downstream edge; and

using determined edge position and traffic influx information as

input data, selecting from the stored patterns, a pattern which best fits said

input data.

Claim 19. (New) The method according to Claim 18, wherein said

predicting comprises:

using determined position of said upstream edge, said selected

pattern and said traffic influx information, predicting further development of

said pattern.

Page 9 of 18